

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,819	07/30/2003	Takeshi Watanabe	R2184.0245/P245	7294	
²⁴⁹⁹⁸ DICKSTEIN S	7590 12/26/2006 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER			
1825 EYE STR	EET NW	GUPTA, PARUL H			
Washington, D	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/629,819	WATANABE, TAKESHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Parul Gupta	2627		

	Pai	rul Gupta		2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears	on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to of this application, applicant must timely file one of the f places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compatime periods:	or on the following a Notice diance wi	same day as fili replies: (1) an a of Appeal (with a ith 37 CFR 1.114	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	this Adviso pire later t a) or (b). C EP 706.07	ory Action, or (2) t than SIX MONTHS DNLY CHECK BO 7(f).	S from the mailin X (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extensi the shorte later thar	ion and the corresponder in the corresponding to the correct statutory per correct to the correct the	oonding amount iod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extensio	n thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE (c) They are not deemed to place the application in	er consid below);	eration and/or s	earch (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corre			-	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		Can attached Na	tion of Non Co	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		See allached No	nice of Non-Co	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead to the following rejection		 able if submitted	in a congrata	timely filed amondme	nt cancaling the
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of goo was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d and su	ifficient reasons	why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily 	d to over	come <u>all</u> rejectio Id was not earlie	ns under appe r presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explain REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of	the status of the	e claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	ed but do	es NOT place th	e application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 13. Other:	t(s). (PT(O/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
•					
		•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments not persuasive. Reference teaches step in "purpose" section of abstract. In the given section of the reference, the method checks the coincidence of the information of the destination and source, which is the same as comparing the information of the source optical disc to the target optical disc. The control information of the reference is the information recorded in the source optical disc and the target optical disc.

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2